## User guidance:

- The first section of this form guides users through considering major areas where emissions are likely to occur. If emissions are impacted in a way not covered by these categories, please identify this at the bottom of the section
- The first section should be filled as such:
  - Impact: identify, in relation to each area, whether the decision of the proposal does the following: reduces emissions, increases emissions, or has no impact on emissions. If it is uncertain this section can be labelled impact unknown
  - If **no impact on emissions** is identified: no further detail is needed for this area, but can be added if relevant (e.g. if efforts have been made to mitigate emissions in this area.)
  - Describe impacts or potential impacts on emissions: two sections deal respectively with emissions from the Council (including those of contractors), and emissions across Rotherham as a whole. In both sections please explain any factors that are likely to reduce or increase emissions. If impact unknown has been selected, then identify the area of uncertainty and outline known variables that may affect impacts.
  - In most cases there is no need to quantify the emission impact of an area after outlining the factors that may reduce or increase emissions. In some cases, however, this may be desirable if factors can be reduced to a small number of known variables (e.g. if an emission impact is attached to a known or estimated quantity of fuel consumed).
  - Describe any measures to mitigate emission impact: regardless of the emission impact, in many cases steps should be taken in
    order to reduce mitigate all emissions associated with each area as far as possible; these steps can be outlined here (For example: if a
    proposal is likely to increase emissions but practices or materials have been adopted in order to reduce this overall impact, this would
    be described here).
  - Outline any monitoring of emission impacts that will be carried out: in this section outline any steps taken to monitor emission levels, or steps taken to monitor the factors that are expected to increase or reduce emission levels (for example, if waste or transport levels are being monitored this would be described here)
- A **summary paragraph** outlining the likely overall impacts of the proposal/decision on emissions should then be completed this is not required if the proposal/decision has no impact across all areas.
- The supporting information section should be filled as followed:
  - Author/completing officer
  - Research, data, or information may refer to datasets, background documents, literature, consultations, or other data-gathering exercise. These should also be added to the supporting documents section of the cabinet report
- Carbon Impact Assessments are to be appended to the associated cabinet reports
- Prior to publishing reports, Carbon Impact Assessments should be sent to <u>climate@rotherham.gov.uk</u> for feedback
- Report authors may also use the above email address to direct any further queries or to access further support regarding completing the assessment

|  |                              | If an impact or potential impacts are identified   |  |  |   |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Will the decision/proposal impact      | Impact                       | Describe impacts or potential impacts on emissions from the Council and its contractors. | Describe impact or potential impacts on emissions across Rotherham as a whole. | Describe any measures to mitigate emission impacts | Outline any monitoring of emission impacts that will be carried out |
| Emissions from non-domestic buildings? | No impact<br>on<br>emissions | -  | -  | -  | -   |

|                           | Reduces<br>emissions<br>in<br>Rotherham | The report relating to this assessment seeks approval be given to undertake the consultation process for the sites identified as requiring camera enforcement.  | The decision required of this report has no direct Carbon impacts for Rotherham as, if approved, the work which will be done is administrative rather than operational/construction related in nature.  If the site reviews and consultation work results in an approval to install (granted by separate Cabinet approval) savings would accrue from reduced idling of standing traffic and improved route efficiency for public transport. These could be expected to outweigh the nominal carbon cost of introducing enforcement technology. | -  | -    |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|------|
| Emissions from transport? |   | Operation and maintenance of enforcement technology can be expected to result in a small increase in carbon emissions.  Power consumption associated with the technology is estimated 210kWh p.a., resulting in 36 kg of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions p.a. at 2020 UK average carbon intensity of electricity generation of 181 gCO <sub>2</sub> /kWh. This would be expected to be multiplied by the number of sites where the technology is deployed | Estimates of these benefits suggest that the smallest carbon impacts, that of a small car idling, at 10gCO2/minute would very quickly recover the negative carbon impacts from the introduction of the enforcement technology. Further carbon savings for instance in reducing bus and HGV idling at obstructed junctions, could provide up to 68gC02/min carbon impact reduction per large vehicle.   | Supply chain partners will be required to provide confirmation their Carbon reduction policies during the procurement process. | None |

| There will be a one-off carbon cost associated with the manufacture and fitting which it is expected to be minimal |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|                       | Onlygonar          |                                    |  |                 |               |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|
| Carionia na fuena     | Only as per        | -                                  | -  | -               | -             |
| Emissions from        | construction       |                                    |  |                 |               |
| waste, or the         | phase              |                                    |  |                 |               |
| quantity of waste     | referred to        |                                    |  |                 |               |
| itself?               | below.             |                                    |  |                 |               |
|                       | no impact          | -                                  | -  | -               | -             |
|                       | on                 |                                    |  |                 |               |
| Emissions from        | emissions          |                                    |  |                 |               |
| housing and           |                    |                                    |  |                 |               |
| domestic buildings?   |                    |                                    |  |                 |               |
|                       | increase           | There will be some negative        | These impacts would be site specific to  | Suppliers would | Evaluation of |
|                       | emissions          | environmental impacts in           | locations identified by the feasibility  | be required to  | tenders and   |
|                       |                    | relation to the extraction and     | study. Selected sites would be           | minimise        | method        |
|                       |                    | transportation of materials for    | distributed across the authority only on | carbon impacts  | statements.   |
|                       |                    | the scheme along the supply        | its adopted highway network.             | of installation |               |
|                       |                    | chain, and with the                | ine adopted ingimely nomenia             | via the tender  |               |
|                       |                    | construction of the scheme         |  | specification   |               |
| Emissions from        |                    | locally. These impacts are         |  | returns.        |               |
| construction and/or   |                    | considered to be typical for a     |  | Totallio.       |               |
| development?          |                    | scheme of this scale.              |  |                 |               |
| dovolopinont:         | Impact             | _                                  | _  | _               | _             |
|                       | unknown            |                                    |  |                 |               |
|                       | GIINIOWII          |                                    |  |                 |               |
| Carbon capture        |                    |                                    |  |                 |               |
| (e.g. through         |                    |                                    |  |                 |               |
| trees)?               |                    |                                    |  |                 |               |
| Identify any emission | impacts associated | riated with this decision that hav | e not been covered by the above fields:  |                 |               |

Identify any emission impacts associated with this decision that have not been covered by the above fields:

None identified.

Please provide a summary of all impacts and mitigation/monitoring measures:

Introduction of enforcement technology and business processes under Designation of Powers granted by the Department for Transport would incur modest or minimal carbon impacts during installation, set up and operation. Benefits of carbon impact reduction accrue within the general traffic fleet on Rotherham's roads due to reduced idling at obstructed junctions, reduced road traffic collisions together with associated emergency service responses, infrastructure repairs and so on.

| Supporting information:   |   |
|---|---|
| Completed by: (Name, title, and service area/directorate).  | Richard Pardy, Engineer - Transport Infrastructure, Regeneration & Environment                                    |
| Please outline any research, data, or information used to complete this [form].   | TRL Report PPR987 Idling Action Research - Review of Emissions Data December 2020 by Tim Barlow and Olivia Cairns |
| If quantities of emissions are relevant to and have been used in this form please identify which conversion factors have been used to quantify impacts. | Carbon intensity of Electricity taken to be 181 g / kWh.  |
| Tracking [to be completed by Policy Support / Climate Champions]  |   |